



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,209	02/23/2006	Takashi Yamada	127154	1819
25944 7590 12/24/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
CHU, KIM KWOK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2627				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/24/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/569,209

Applicant(s)

YAMADA ET AL.

Examiner

Kim-Kwok CHU

Art Unit

2627

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on 9/9/2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's Remarks and Amendment filed on September 9, 2008 have been fully considered. Applicant cancels the rejected Claims 1-17 and amends the objected dependent Claim 18 to independent form. However, the amended Claim 18 raises further problems as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 18, lines 12-17, the limitations "two constant temperature chambers are prepared" and "the object to be measured is first accommodated in one of the two constant temperature chambers and is then carried into the other constant temperature chamber" are unclear confusing as if the two

Art Unit: 2627

constant temperature chambers in these line include the "a constant temperature chamber" recited in line 4 above.

It is there assumed that 18 should read as follow for art application:

18. A warpage angle measurement method comprising:
accommodating at least one of an optical disc and a cartridge for the optical disc as an object to be measured in a first constant temperature chamber;
adjusting an inside of the constant temperature chamber to have a predetermined environmental condition including at least one of a temperature and a humidity;
preparing a second constant temperature chamber; wherein the environmental conditions inside the first constant temperature chamber and the second temperature are adjusted to have a different environmental condition from each other, and the object to be measured is first accommodated in the first constant temperature chamber and then carried into the second constant temperature chamber; and
thereafter, measuring an angle of warpage of the object to be measured by emitting laser light to the object to be measured, receiving the laser light reflected from the object to be measured, and detecting a relative angle of an optical path of the reflected laser light with respect to an optical path of the emitted laser light.

Regarding claim 19, the limitation, lines 3-5, the limitation "and a mounting posture of the optical disc in the cartridge is adjusted to measure the angle of warpage of the optical disc" while claim 18 recited that the angle of warpage is measured by emitting laser light. The claim language is thus not consistent and thus is confusing.

Claim 19 is assume to read:

Art Unit: 2627

19. (rewritten) The Warpage angle measurement method according to claim 18, wherein the optical disc and the cartridge are held in the constant temperature chamber while the optical disc is mounted in the cartridge, further comprising:
adjusting a mounting posture of the disc in the disc for the measuring of the angle of the warpage of the optical disc.

The claims not specifically mentioned above are indefinite based upon their dependence of an indefinite Claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 18-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

5. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As in claim 18, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a warpage angle measurement method having the following step:

(a) two constant temperature chambers are prepared and environmental conditions inside the two constant temperature chambers are set to have a different environmental condition from each other, and

(b) the object to be measured is first accommodated in one of the two constant temperature chambers and is then carried

Art Unit: 2627

into the other constant temperature chamber and thereafter the angle of warpage of the object to be measured is measured.

The features indicated above, in combination with the other elements of the claims, are not anticipated by, nor made obvious over, the prior art of record.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7585 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen, can be reached on (571) 272-7579.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9191 (toll free).

/Kim-Kwok CHU/

Examiner AU2627
December 19, 2008
(571) 272-7585

/HOA T NGUYEN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627